

# Orphan Drug Development Guidebook

## Building Block E104

This document defines the content of the Building Block created for each identified tool, incentives, initiative or practice introduced by public bodies or used by developers to expedite drug development in Rare Diseases (RDs).

| ITEM                      | DESCRIPTION  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Building Block (BB) Title | National Member State Scientific Advice (NMS-SA)   |
| References                | <p>Each Member State that offers scientific advice will have details on their own specific web site. The details vary between Member States.</p> <p>Examples include:</p> <p><a href="http://www.bfarm.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/Service/AdviceProcedures/Guidance_for_Applicants_ScientificAdvice.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&amp;v=3">http://www.bfarm.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/Service/AdviceProcedures/Guidance_for_Applicants_ScientificAdvice.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&amp;v=3</a></p> <p><a href="https://english.cbg-meb.nl/topics/mah-scientific-and-regulatory-advice">https://english.cbg-meb.nl/topics/mah-scientific-and-regulatory-advice</a></p>  |
| Description               | <p>Procedures are set up by many (but not all) National Member State to offer scientific advice to developers of new medicines. The procedures can be similar but often less formal than the CHMP scientific advice/protocol assistance at the EMA; written advice and/or face-to-face meetings maybe envisaged. The procedures are available for any drug developer (not limited to orphan drugs ) and may vary between Member States.</p> <p>Often meetings can be arranged within 6 – 8 weeks of a request; sometimes this may be up to 6 months, depending on the Agency, types of issues to discuss, competing workload, etc.</p> <p>Agency fees : some offer this advice for free, others charge a fee (see NMS relevant website).</p> |
| Category                  | Regulatory Building Block  |

|                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Geographical scope                 | European Union   |
| Availability                       | Applicants developing medicines for rare and non-rare diseases.  |
| Scope of use                       | <p>Many developers request advice from Member States before approaching CHMP for advice – it can be a way to discuss major issues regarding the development of the product to be administered into a clinical trial (national competency) before submitting a much more extensive request to CHMP for advice on the whole product development leading to the registration.</p> <p>Used when there is a lack of clear regulatory guidance or precedent for how to develop a particular medicine or when the developer intends to maximize the success at the time of clinical trial application submission.</p> |
| Stakeholders                       | <p>National Member State regulatory agencies (possibly including external experts)</p> <p>Drug developers (possibly including external experts / KOLs)</p>   |
| Enablers/<br>Requirements          | No pre-requirements.   |
| Output                             | The output may vary by Member State. All will give advice and answers to specific questions: some give oral advice (at a meeting); some write formal minutes/advice letters; some comment on sponsor’s own minutes.  |
| Best time to apply and time window | The tool has its use any time from the start of the clinical development up to before Marketing Authorization Application submission. In most cases, the best time is when you enter the clinical development phase.   |
| Expert tips                        | <p>Do not expect a “pre-assessment” of a pending MAA. Ensure advice sought relates to plans for future development, not simply review of already obtained study results.</p> <p>Advice given is usually not binding on the regulator. NMS provides advice based on the amount of specific information provided to assess the questions raised (i.e., if you are not providing enough elements into your briefing package, then you miss an opportunity to validate the specific topic).</p> <p>PROs:</p>   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Usually a quicker, cheaper, and less formal procedure than obtaining scientific advice from CHMP.</li></ul> <p>CONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• All orphan products will eventually be appraised by CHMP and these national advice meetings may not always coincide with a Europe-wide opinion.</li></ul> |
|--|--|